

Corresponding editor: TianMing Wang

Licensing Statement: This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).[11]

## INDEPENDENCE POLYNOMIALS $I_\alpha(G; x)$ AND MERRIFIELD-SIMMONS INDEXES

HUANG YANG AND LIMIN YANG

ABSTRACT. In graph theory, independence polynomial  $I_\alpha(G; x)$  is NP-hard (see [1] and [2]). In this paper, our ways are combinatorial counting methods. By means of the complementary of any stable set is a complete graph, conversely, the complementary of any complete graph is a stable set, the authors derive independence polynomial  $I_\alpha(G; x)$ , and present the explicit formulas of independence polynomials  $I_\alpha(G; x)$  for a great deal of graphs, specially, independence polynomials of complete  $d$ -partite graph and  $(n-3)$ -regular graph. Finally, Merrifield-Simmons indexes are given.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, the authors will solve Independence polynomials  $I_\alpha(G; x)$  and Merrifield-Simmons indexes.

**Definition 1.1.** In graph theory, stable set ( or independent set ) is the set of vertices in a graph , no two of which are adjacent. That is, it is a set  $S$  of vertices such that for every two vertices in  $S$ , there is no edge connecting the two.

**Definition 1.2.** Independent set polynomials  $I(G; x)$  are defined as

$$I(G; x) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(G)x^k = \sum_{I \subset v(G)} \prod_{v \in I} x,$$

where let  $b_k(G)$  be exactly  $k$ -independent sets of  $G$ .

Complexity: It is easy to see that  $I(G; x)$  is NP-hard to compute. ( see [1])

**Definition 1.3.** If  $s_k$  denotes the number of stable sets of cardinality  $k$  in graph  $G$ , and  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum stable set, then

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} s_k x^k$$

is called independence polynomial of  $G$ .

( Also see [2])

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A18; Secondary 05C10.

*Key words and phrases.* stable set; independence polynomial; Merrifield-Simmons index;

\* This research is supported by Development Foud of Dali University(KY231901540).

**Definition 1.4.** For Merrifield-Simmons index of the graph, denoted by  $i(G)$ , Merrifield-Simmons proposed, it is defined as the number of all independent sets of the graph, including the empty set.

$S(G)$  denotes the number of all stable sets in  $G$ , namely,

$$S(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} s_k.$$

Remark:(reviewing the size of maximum independent set) Because it is NP-hard that  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum stable set ( the size of maximum independent set), so far there exists not the explicit formula, a number of mathematicians have studied that  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum stable set( the size of maximum independent set). Enumeration of  $S(G)$  is more difficult than  $\alpha(G)$ .

## 2. Basic Lemmas

In the section, the authors will state some basic Lemmas used in the article.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $s_k$  denotes the number of stable sets of cardinality  $k$  in graph  $G$ , and  $c_k$  denotes the number of complete subgraphs of order  $k$  in  $\bar{G}$ , then  $s_k = c_k$ .*

*Proof.* Our idea is that two sets have the same cardinal number if there exists a 1-1 correspondence between them. Because the complementary of any stable set is a complete graph, conversely, the complementary of any complete graph is a stable set, then there exists a 1-1 correspondence between stable sets of cardinality  $k$  in  $G$  and complete subgraphs of order  $k$  in  $\bar{G}$ , so  $s_k = c_k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum stable set in  $G$ , then  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum complete graph in  $\bar{G}$ .*

*Proof.* Omitted.  $\square$

## 3. Main results

In the section, the authors will discuss independence polynomials  $I_\alpha(G; x)$  of graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $c_k$  denotes the number of complete subgraphs of order  $k$  in  $\bar{G}$ , then independence polynomials  $I_\alpha(G; x)$  of graphs are as follows:*

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k x^k,$$

where  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum complete graph in  $\bar{G}$ .

*Proof.* By definition 1.3 , Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(G; x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} s_k x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k x^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum complete graph in  $\bar{G}$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $G$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices, the degree sequence of  $G$  is  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ ,  $\bar{G}$  is no  $K_3$  subgraph, then*

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + \left[ \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} \right] x^2.$$

*Proof.* Because  $\bar{G}$  is no  $K_3$  subgraph, a maximum complete graph in  $\bar{G}$  is  $K_2$ , then  $\alpha(G) = 2$ .

$c_k$  = the number of complete subgraphs of order  $k$  in  $\bar{G}$ ,

$c_1 = n$

the number of edges of  $G = \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2}$ ,

the number of edges of  $\bar{G} = \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2}$ ,

then  $c_2 = \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2}$ .

Finally, according to Theorem 3.1, the result is derived as follows:

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + \left[ \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} \right] x^2.$$

□

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $G$  is a complete  $d$ -partite graph  $K_{n, n, \dots, n}$ , the number of  $n$  is  $d$ , then*

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = d(1 + x)^n - d.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  is a complete  $d$ -partite graph  $K_{n, n, \dots, n}$ , the number of  $n$  is  $d$ , then  $\bar{G} = K_n \cup K_n \cup \dots \cup K_n$ , and the number of  $K_n$  is  $d$ .

$c_1$  is the number of complete subgraphs of order 1 in  $\bar{G}$ ,  $c_1 = d \binom{n}{1}$ ;

$c_2$  is the number of complete subgraphs of order 2 in  $\bar{G}$ ,  $c_2 = d \binom{n}{2}$ ;

.....

$c_k$  is the number of complete subgraphs of order  $k$  in  $\bar{G}$ ,  $c_k = d \binom{n}{k}$ ;

.....

$c_n$  is the number of complete subgraphs of order  $n$  in  $\bar{G}$ ,  $c_n = d \binom{n}{n}$ ;

$\alpha(G) = n$

Finally, according to Theorem 3.1, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(G; x) &= d \binom{n}{1} x + d \binom{n}{2} x^2 + \dots + d \binom{n}{k} x^k + \dots + d \binom{n}{n} x^n \\ &= d \left[ 1 + \binom{n}{1} x + \binom{n}{2} x^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{k} x^k + \dots + \binom{n}{n} x^n \right] - d \\ &= d(1 + x)^n - d. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $G = K_{1, n-1}$  is a star graph with  $n$  vertices, then*

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = (x - 1) + (1 + x)^{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Because of  $G = K_{1,n-1}$ ,  $\bar{G} = K_1 \cup K_{n-1}$  then  $c_1 = n; c_2 = \binom{n-1}{2}; \dots; c_k = \binom{n-1}{k}; \dots; c_{n-1} = \binom{n-1}{n-1}$ .  $\alpha(G) = n - 1$ . By Theorem 3.1 then

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(G; x) &= nx + \binom{n-1}{2}x^2 + \dots + \binom{n-1}{k}x^k + \dots + \binom{n-1}{n-1}x^{n-1} \\ &= (x-1) + 1 + \binom{n-1}{1}x + \binom{n-1}{2}x^2 + \dots + \binom{n-1}{k}x^k + \dots + \binom{n-1}{n-1}x^{n-1} \\ I_\alpha(G; x) &= (x-1) + (1+x)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $G$  is a  $(n-2)$ -regular graph with  $n$  (even  $2m$ ) vertices, then independence polynomial of  $G$  is as follows:*

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + \frac{n}{2}x^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a  $(n-2)$ -regular graph with  $n$  (even  $2m$ ), then  $\bar{G}$  is a 1-regular graph, namely,  $\bar{G} = K_2 \cup K_2 \cup \dots \cup K_2$ , and the number of  $K_2$  is  $m$ . Because  $\bar{G}$  is no  $K_3$  subgraph, according to Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(G; x) &= nx + \left[ \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} \right] x^2, \\ d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n &= n(n-2) \\ I_\alpha(G; x) &= nx + \frac{n}{2}x^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $G$  is a  $n-3$ -regular graph with  $n$  vertices,  $n \geq 6$ , and  $\bar{G} \cong C_n$ , then independence polynomial of  $G$  is as follows:*

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + nx^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a  $n-3$ -regular graph with  $n$  vertices,  $n \geq 6$  and  $\bar{G} \cong C_n$ , because  $\bar{G}$  is a 2-regular graph, the graph would be able to join the disjoint cycles, thus assume that  $C_n$ , say. Because  $\bar{G}$  is no  $K_3$  subgraph, according to Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(G; x) &= nx + \left[ \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} \right] x^2, \\ d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n &= n(n-3) \\ I_\alpha(G; x) &= nx + nx^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.7.** *If  $G$  is a  $(n-3)$ -regular graph with  $n$  vertices, and*

$$\bar{G} = C_{n_1} \cup C_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{n_q},$$

*$n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_q = n$ ,  $C_{n_i} \cap C_{n_j} = \phi$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $3 \leq n_j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 6$ , the number of  $n_j = 3$  is  $l$ , then independence polynomial of  $G$  is given as follows:*

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + nx^2 + lx^3.$$

*Proof.*  $c_k$  = the number of complete subgraphs of order  $k$  in  $\bar{G}$ ,  
 $\bar{G} = C_{n_1} \cup C_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{n_q}$ ,  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_q = n$ ,  $C_{n_i} \cap C_{n_j} = \phi$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $3 \leq n_j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 6$ , the number of  $n_j = 3$  is  $l$ ,  
 so  $c_1 = n; c_2 = n; c_3 = l; \alpha(G) = 3$ . According to Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(G; x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k x^k \\ &= nx + nx^2 + lx^3. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 3.8.** *If  $T$  is a tree with  $n$  vertices, then*  
 $I_\alpha(\bar{T}; x) = nx + (n - 1)x^2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  is a tree with  $n$  vertices, the degree sequence of  $T$  is  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ , then  $T$  is no  $K_3$  subgraph, and the degree sequence of  $\bar{T}$  is  $n - 1 - d_1, n - 1 - d_2, \dots, n - 1 - d_n$ . According to Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha(\bar{T}; x) &= nx + \left[ \binom{n}{2} - \frac{(n - 1 - d_1) + (n - 1 - d_2) + \dots + (n - 1 - d_n)}{2} \right] x^2 \\ &= nx + \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} x^2 \\ d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n &= 2\varepsilon = 2(n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$I_\alpha(\bar{T}; x) = nx + (n - 1)x^2.$$

□

#### 4. Merrifield-Simmons index

In the setion, the authors will discuss Merrifield-Simmons indexes of graphs.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $S(G)$  denotes the number of all stable sets of a graph in  $G$ , namely,*

$$S(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} s_k,$$

then

$$S(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k,$$

where  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum complete graph in  $\bar{G}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,  $s_k = c_k$ , By Lemma 2.2,  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum stable set in  $G$ ,  $\alpha(G)$  is the size of a maximum complete graph in  $\bar{G}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} S(G) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} s_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $S(G)$  denotes the number of all stable sets of a graph in  $G$ , then*

$$S(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1).$$

*Proof.*

$$S(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k.$$

By Theorem 3.1,

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k x^k.$$

Let  $x = 1$ . Then

$$S(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1).$$

□

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $G$  is any graph, then the relation between independences polynomial and Merrifield-Simmons index is as follows:*

$$i(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1) + 1.$$

*Proof.*

$$i(G) = S(G) + 1 = I_\alpha(G; 1) + 1.$$

□

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a complete  $d$ -partite graph  $K_{n,n,\dots,n}$ , the number of  $n$  is  $d$ , then*

$$i(G) = d2^n - d + 1.$$

*Proof.* Method 1 Because of

$$\begin{aligned} S(G) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k = \sum_{k=1}^n d \binom{n}{k} \\ &= d \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \\ &= d \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} - d \\ &= d2^n - d, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$i(G) = S(G) + 1 = d2^n - d + 1.$$

Method 2

By Theorem 3.3,

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = d(1+x)^n - d.$$

By Theorem 4.3,

$$i(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1) + 1 = d2^n - d + 1.$$

□

**Theorem 4.5.** *If  $G = K_{1, n-1}$  is a star graph with  $n$  vertices, then*

$$i(G) = 2^{n-1} + 1.$$

*Proof.* Method 1 For

$$\begin{aligned} S(G) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha(G)} c_k \\ &= n + \binom{n-1}{2} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{k} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{n-1} \\ &= 1 + \binom{n-1}{1} + \binom{n-1}{2} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{k} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{n-1} \\ &= 2^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$i(G) = S(G) + 1 = 2^{n-1} + 1.$$

Method 2

By Theorem 3.4,

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = (x-1) + (1+x)^{n-1}.$$

By Theorem 4.3,

$$i(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1) + 1 = 2^{n-1} + 1. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.6.** *If  $G$  is a  $(n-2)$ -regular graph with  $n$  (even  $2m$ ) vertices, then*

$$i(G) = \frac{3n}{2} + 1.$$

*Proof.* Omitted. □

**Theorem 4.7.** *If  $G$  is a  $n$ -3-regular graph with  $n$  vertices,  $n \geq 6$ , and  $\bar{G} \cong C_n$ , then*

$$i(G) = 2n + 1.$$

*Proof.* Omitted. □

**Corollary 4.8.** *If  $G$  is a  $(n-3)$ -regular graph with  $n$  vertices, and*

$$\bar{G} = C_{n_1} \cup C_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup C_{n_q},$$

*$n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_q = n$ ,  $C_{n_i} \cap C_{n_j} = \phi$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $3 \leq n_j \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 6$ , the number of  $n_j = 3$  is  $l$ , then*

$$i(G) = 2n + l + 1.$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.7,

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + nx^2 + lx^3.$$

By Theorem 4.3,

$$i(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1) + 1 = n + n + l + 1 = 2n + l + 1. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.9.** *If  $T$  is a tree with  $n$  vertices, then*

$$i(\bar{T}) = 2n.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.8,

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + (n - 1)x^2.$$

By Theorem 4.3,

$$i(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1) + 1 = n + (n - 1) + 1 = 2n - 1 + 1 = 2n.$$

□

**Theorem 4.10.** *If  $G$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices, the degree sequence of  $G$  is  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ ,  $\bar{G}$  is no  $K_3$  subgraph, then*

$$i(G) = n + \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} + 1.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2,

$$I_\alpha(G; x) = nx + \left[ \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} \right] x^2.$$

By Theorem 4.3,

$$i(G) = I_\alpha(G; 1) + 1 = n + \binom{n}{2} - \frac{d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n}{2} + 1.$$

□

**Acknowledgments** The authors are indebted to Professor Tianming Wang and the referees for their time and comments.

### References

1. Makowsky, J. A., Mariño, J. P.: Farrell polynomials on graphs of bounded tree width, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, **30**(1-2)(2003)160-176.
2. Vadim E.L., Mandrescu,E.: Independence polynomials of well-covered graphs: Generic counterexamples for the unimodality conjecture,*European Journal of Combinatorics*, **27**(6)(2006)931-939.
3. Yang,L.M., Wang,T.M.: The Representing Formula of  $N(G, k)$ , *Journal of Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications*, **1**(1)(2026)60-80.
4. Yang,L.M., Wang,T.M.: The Explicit Formula of Chromatic Polynomials, *Advance in Mathematics*, **35**(1)(2006)55-66.
5. Wang T.M.,Yang L.M.:Enumeration of ideal subgraphs,*Graph theory, Combinatorics, Algorithms, and Applications, SIAM*, 1991 539-545.
6. Yang,L.M.,Nian,S.H.:A Unimodal Conjecture Related the Quadruple Sequence on the Series, *Journal of Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications*, **1**(1)(2026) 1-19.
7. Yang,L.M.:Enumeration of Ideal Graph with Applications, *J. of Dalian Uni. of Tech.*, **29**(5)(1989)605-609.
8. Yang,H.,Yang,L.M.:Explicit Formulas of Partitions of Stable Sets of the Direct Product on Several Pairs of Complementary Graphs,*Journal of Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications*,**1**(1)(2026)20-35.
9. Stanley,R.P.:*Enumerative Combinatorics*,Cambridge University Press,1997.
10. Huang,Y.H.:Hoaoaya Index and the Matching Defect Polynomials of A graph G without K3 subgraph,*Journal of Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications*,**1**(1)(2026) 36-50.

11. Bondy J.A.,MURTY U.S.R.:*Graph Theory with Applications*, The Macmil Press, L.T.D, 1976.
12. Wang T.M.:*Modern Combinatorics*, Dalian University of Technology Press, 2008.
13. Santhi,M.,Sekar,C.: Semi Neighbourly Irregular Graphs,*Journal of Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications*,1(1)(2026) 51-59.

HUANG YANG:SCHOOL OF CIVIL ENGINEERING,CHONGQING JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, CHONG QING400074,P.R.CHINA  
*E-mail address:* 190821533@qq.com

LiMin YANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER, DALI UNIVERSITY, DALI 671003, P.R.CHINA  
*E-mail address:* yanglm65@aliyun.com